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This potato descriptor list was initiated for the benefit of breeders and developed with full support from the Commission of the European Communities (CEC) Programme Committee for Plant Resistance Breeding and the use of Genebanks and the Plant Productivity Committee following consultation with IBPGR. The UPOV descriptor list for potatoes has been referred to and common systems used where possible.

This descriptor list has not been prepared entirely to the IBPGR standard format. The IBPGR encourages the collection of data on four categories: Accession, Collection, Characterization and Preliminary Evaluation. The IBPGR endorses the information in categories 1-5 inc. herein, as the minimum that ideally should be available for any one variety. However, in evaluating reactions to pests and diseases, whereas it is IBPGR standard procedure to score on a 1 to 9 scale of increasing susceptibility historically potato breeders have tended to score on scales of increasing resistance. It was the unanimous decision of the EEC Workshop that in order to maintain consistency with previous and present agricultural practise (e.g. National Institute of Agricultural Botany Recommended List in U.K. and Rassenlijist in the Netherlands) and the view that the 1 to 9 scale should, where relevant and possible, reflect increasing desirability that this would be the procedure followed in this document (also for characters - eye depth, maturity).

Although the suggested coding should not be regarded as a definitive scheme, this format has the backing of IBPGR. The descriptor list given here provides an international minimum format for varieties. This could form the basis of a more extensive list to include primitive cultivars and wild species, which could produce a rapid, reliable and efficient means for information storage, retrieval and communication. This could greatly assist the utilisation of germplasm throughout the international plant genetic resources network.

Any suggestions for modifications will be welcomed by the IBPGR Secretariat, Rome and by the editors, especially before encoding new descriptors.

In addition to preparing this descriptor list the CEC Workshop also compiled an inventory of old varieties maintained within the EEC, with information on their health status and sources of origin. Copies are available from the EC Brussels.
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DESCRIPTOR LIST FOR POTATO VARIETIES

This document was compiled for existing varieties;

Passport data (accession identifiers and information recorded by collectors) and

Characterization data (taxonomic traits used for identification of different species) are reduced to bare minimum, sufficient to enable such information to be traced if so required. Emphasis has been placed on performance characteristics or

Evaluation data such as would be collected and used by plant breeders and evaluators of varieties.

At the time of preparation of this document there are no internationally agreed standard varieties. It is important when using the 1 to 9 scales to ensure that reference is made to the performance of local/national standard varieties used in the same tests or observed in the same conditions; until such time as recognised international standards are agreed. It is important also to specify, preferably by reference to published information, the nature of the test upon which the scores assigned are based.
1. PASSPORT CHARACTERS

1.1 Variety
Variety name and synonyms

1.2 Variant or parentage
Source variety or immediate pedigree

1.3 Country of origin
Name of country

1.4 National Listing
Date of introduction or entry on to National List if relevant
## 2. PLANT CHARACTERISTICS

### 2.1 Earliness of foliage maturity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Very late</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Late</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Intermediate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Early</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Very early</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2.2 Growth habit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Extremely erect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Erect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Semi-erect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Prostrate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Very prostrate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2.3 Foliage cover

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Very poor (= sparse)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Very good (= dense)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2.4 Flower frequency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Extremely rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Occasional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Frequent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Very frequent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2.5 Flower colour

State verbally

### 2.6 Pollen fertility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Very low or sterile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Very high</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2.7 Berry number per plant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Extremely rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Rare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Occasional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Frequent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Very frequent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. TUBER CHARACTERISTICS

3.1 Tuber shape
State verbally

3.2 Skin colour
State verbally

3.3 Eye colour
State verbally

3.4 Light sprout colour
State verbally

3.5 Flesh colour
State verbally

3.6 Eye depth
1 = very deep
3 = deep
5 = medium
7 = shallow
9 = very shallow

3.7 Skin texture
1 = very rough
3 = rough
5 = intermediate
7 = smooth
9 = very smooth

3.8 Dormancy
1 = very short
3 = short
5 = medium
7 = long
9 = very long

3.9 Resistance to external damage
1 = very susceptible
3 = susceptible
5 = moderate
7 = resistant
9 = very resistant

3.10 Resistant to internal bruising
1 = very susceptible
3 = susceptible
5 = moderate
7 = resistant
9 = very resistant
3.11 Storageability

1 = very poor
3 = poor
5 = moderate
7 = good
9 = very good

3.12 Tuber glycoalkaloid

1 = very high
3 = high
5 = moderate
7 = low
9 = very low
4. UTILISATION CHARACTERISTICS

4.1 Cooking type

A = firm (salad type)
B = fairly firm (multi-purpose type)
C = mealy (floury type)
D = very mealy (floury type)

4.2 After cooking blackening

1 = severe
3 = high
5 = medium
7 = low
9 = extremely low

4.3 Frying colour

1 = very dark
3 = dark
5 = medium
7 = pale
9 = very pale

4.4 Crisp suitability

1 = very poor
3 = poor
5 = medium
7 = good
9 = very good

4.5 French fry suitability

1 = very poor
3 = poor
5 = medium
7 = good
9 = very good

4.6 Enzymic browning

1 = severe
3 = high
5 = medium
7 = low
9 = extremely low
4.7 Dry Matter Content
1 = very low
3 = low
5 = medium
7 = high
9 = very high

4.8 Starch content
1 = very low
3 = low
5 = medium
7 = high
9 = very high

4.9 Protein content
1 = very low
3 = low
5 = medium
7 = high
9 = very high
5. TUBERING CHARACTERISTICS

5.1 Yield potential
   1 = very low
   3 = low
   5 = medium
   7 = high
   9 = very high

5.2 Adaptability
   1 = very narrow
   3 = narrow
   5 = medium
   7 = wide
   9 = very wide

5.3 Rate of bulking
   1 = very slow
   3 = slow
   5 = medium
   7 = fast
   9 = very fast

5.4 Tuber number per plant
   1 = very few
   3 = few
   5 = medium
   7 = many
   9 = very many

5.5 Tuber size
   1 = very small
   3 = small
   5 = medium
   7 = large
   9 = very large

5.6 Tuber uniformity
   1 = very variable
   3 = variable
   5 = medium
   7 = uniform
   9 = very uniform
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Scale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>Secondary growth</td>
<td>1 = very high tendency, 3 = high tendency, 5 = medium, 7 = low tendency, 9 = very low tendency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>Hollow heart</td>
<td>1 = very high tendency, 3 = high tendency, 5 = medium, 7 = low tendency, 9 = very low tendency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>Growth cracking</td>
<td>1 = very high tendency, 3 = high tendency, 5 = medium, 7 = low tendency, 9 = very low tendency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.10</td>
<td>Tuber greening before harvest</td>
<td>1 = very high tendency, 3 = high tendency, 5 = medium, 7 = low tendency, 9 = very low tendency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.11</td>
<td>Length of stolons</td>
<td>1 = very long, 3 = long, 5 = medium, 7 = short, 9 = very short</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>Stolon attachment</td>
<td>1 = very strong (= persistent), 3 = strong, 5 = medium, 7 = loose, 9 = very loose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.13</td>
<td>Internal rust spot</td>
<td>1 = very strong tendency (frequent), 3 = frequent, 5 = medium, 7 = infrequent, 9 = very weak tendency (infrequent)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6. RESISTANCE(S) TO PESTS AND DISEASES*

6.1 FUNGI

6.2 BACTERIA

6.3 VIRUSES

6.4 PESTS

*see page 6, preface second paragraph
6.1 RESISTANCE TO FUNGAL DISEASES

6.1.1 Common scab

\textit{Streptomyces scabies}

1 = very low resistance
3 = low resistance
5 = moderate
7 = high resistance
9 = very high resistance

6.1.2 Dry rot

\textit{Fusarium spp.}

State verbally species
5 = moderate
7 = high resistance
9 = very high resistance

6.1.3 Early blight

\textit{Alternaria solani}

1 = very low resistance
3 = low resistance
5 = moderate
7 = high resistance
9 = very high resistance

6.1.4 Fusarium wilt

\textit{Fusarium oxysporum}

1 = very low resistance
3 = low resistance
5 = moderate
7 = high resistance
9 = very high resistance

6.1.5 Gangrene

\textit{Phoma exigua var. foveata}

1 = very low resistance
3 = low resistance
5 = moderate
7 = high resistance
9 = very high resistance

6.1.6 Late blight on foliage

\textit{Phytophthora infestans}

1 = very low resistance
3 = low resistance
5 = moderate
7 = high resistance
9 = very high resistance
State if and which R genes present, if known.

*see page 6, preface second paragraph*
6.1.7 Late blight on tubers
   *Phytophthora infestans*
   
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resistance Level</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Very low resistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Low resistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>High resistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Very high resistance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.1.8 Stem cancer
   *Rhizoctonia solani*
   
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resistance Level</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Very low resistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Low resistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>High resistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Very high resistance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.1.9 Powdery scab
   *Spongospora subterranea*
   
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resistance Level</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Very low resistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Low resistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>High resistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Very high resistance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.1.10 Wart
   *Synchytrium endobioticum*
   
   State whether susceptible or field immune and specify race(s)
6.2 RESISTANCE TO BACTERIAL DISEASES

6.2.1 Bacterial soft rot
  *Erwinia spp.*
  State verbally species
  1 = very low resistance
  3 = low resistance
  5 = moderate
  7 = high resistance
  9 = very high resistance

6.2.2 Bacterial wilt
  *Pseudomonas solanacearum*
  1 = very low resistance
  3 = low resistance
  5 = moderate
  7 = high resistance
  9 = very high resistance

6.2.3 Blackleg
  *Erwinia spp.*
  State verbally species
  1 = very low resistance
  3 = low resistance
  5 = moderate
  7 = high resistance
  9 = very high resistance

6.2.4 Ring rot
  *Corynebacterium sepedonicum*
  1 = very low resistance
  3 = low resistance
  5 = moderate
  7 = high resistance
  9 = very high resistance
6.3 RESISTANCE TO VIRUS DISEASES

6.3.1 Leafroll virus
1 = very low resistance
3 = low resistance
5 = moderate
7 = high resistance
9 = very high resistance

6.3.2 Mop top virus
1 = very low resistance
3 = low resistance
5 = moderate
7 = high resistance
9 = very high resistance

6.3.3 Tobacco rattle virus
1 = very low resistance
3 = low resistance
5 = moderate
7 = high resistance
9 = very high resistance

6.3.4 Virus A
1 = very low resistance
3 = low resistance
5 = moderate
7 = high resistance
9 = very high resistance
H* = hypersensitive
I = field immune
and/or R = resistant
S = susceptible
T = tolerant

6.3.5 Virus B
1 = very low resistance
3 = low resistance
5 = moderate
7 = high resistance
9 = very high resistance
H* = hypersensitive
I = field immune
and/or R = resistant
S = susceptible
T = tolerant

* 1 to 9 scale related to field performance. HIRST to reaction to challenge in laboratory or glasshouse. Strain of virus should be stated where known and test e.g. sap inoculation or graft.
6.3.6  Virus C

1 = very susceptible
3 = susceptible
5 = medium susceptible and/or R = resistant
7 = resistant
9 = very resistant

H = hypersensitive
I = field immune

6.3.7  Virus M

1 = very susceptible
3 = susceptible
5 = medium susceptible and/or R = resistant
7 = resistant
9 = very resistant

H = hypersensitive
I = field immune

6.3.8  Virus S

1 = very susceptible
3 = susceptible
5 = medium susceptible and/or R = resistant
7 = resistant
9 = very resistant

H = hypersensitive
I = field immune

6.3.9  Virus X

1 = very susceptible
3 = susceptible
5 = medium susceptible and/or R = resistant
7 = resistant
9 = very resistant

H = hypersensitive
I = field immune

6.3.10 Virus Y

1 = very susceptible
3 = susceptible
5 = medium susceptible and/or R = resistant
7 = resistant
9 = very resistant

H = hypersensitive
I = field immune

S = susceptible
T = tolerant
6.4 RESISTANCE TO PESTS

6.4.1 Potato Cyst Nematode  
*Globodera spp.*  
R or S, (= resistant or susceptible). 
State species, pathotype(s), and criteria used.

6.4.2 Aphids  
1 = very low resistance  
3 = low resistance  
5 = moderate  
7 = high resistance  
9 = very high resistance

6.4.3 Slugs  
*Deroceras spp.*  
*and Milax spp.*  
State verbally species  
1 = very low resistance  
3 = low resistance  
5 = moderate  
7 = high resistance  
9 = very high resistance

6.4.4 Tuber moth  
1 = very low resistance  
3 = low resistance  
5 = moderate  
7 = high resistance  
9 = very high resistance
7. ENVIRONMENTAL STRESS FACTORS

7.1 Drought
1 = very low resistance
3 = low resistance
5 = moderate
7 = high resistance
9 = very high resistance

7.2 Frost
1 = very low resistance
3 = low resistance
5 = moderate
7 = high resistance
9 = very high resistance
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